Report



Audit Committee

Part 1

Date: 28 January 2016

Item No: 05

Subject Indicators

Purpose This report considers the Council's 2016/17

Treasury Management Strategy,

Prudential Indicators,

Investment Strategy; and

Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) policy

The Prudential Code requires these items to be reviewed and considered by the Audit Committee prior to their approval by full Council.

Author Assistant Head of Finance

Ward General

Summary

Both the Prudential Code and the Welsh Government require the Audit Committee to have reviewed and considered the Council's Treasury Management Strategy and Prudential Indicators before they are approved by full Council.

The strategies within this report set the Council's approved borrowing and investment limits, based on planned capital spending. This report has been prepared in line with the Council's draft Medium Term Revenue Plan, and will be presented to full Council as part of the overall budget report for approval in March 2016.

During 2015/16 the Council has undertaken to date an additional £48 million of long term borrowing, of which £43 million relates to activities related to the Friars Walk Development. New temporary borrowing of approximately £8 million is anticipated to be required before the end of the 2015/16 financial year, of which the majority relates to the Friars Walk Development.

In December 2013 the Council approved a loan of up to £89.1million to Queensbury Real Estates (Newport) Ltd (QRE) to fund the building of the Friars Walk Development. The Council's own borrowing to make the onward loan is kept separate from the Council's other borrowing requirements and is incurred, as needed, up to a maximum of £89.1million. A further £5million will likely be required by the end of the 2015/16 financial year to cover Friars Walk capital spending. The loan is required to be paid off during 2016/17 via a capital receipt, which is likely to require surplus cash to be invested over a period of time, before the Council's own loans for this purpose can be redeemed.

Proposal

To recommend to Cabinet for approval the Prudential Indicators, Minimum Revenue Provision Policy, the Treasury Management Strategy and the Annual Investment Strategy as detailed in the report.

Action by

Head of Finance – prepare budget papers for Cabinet in line with recommendations from this Committee

Timetable Immediate

This report was prepared after consultation with:

- The Council's Treasury Advisors
- Accountancy Staff
- Heads of Law and Standards and HR/Policy

Background

- 1. The Council is involved in two types of treasury activity:
 - Borrowing long-term for capital purposes and short term for temporary cash flow
 - Investment of surplus cash
- 2. These activities are controlled primarily via the Council's Treasury Management Strategy and various measures and limits set via its Prudential Indicators to regulate/control the implementation of that strategy.
- 3. CIPFA requires local authorities to determine their Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) and Prudential Indicators (PIs) on an annual basis. This requires approval by full Council following a recommendation from the Cabinet. The TMSS also includes the Annual Investment Strategy (AIS) that is a requirement of the Welsh Government's (WG's) Investment Guidance.
- 4. Our detailed Treasury strategies for 2016/17 are included at Appendix 1. In addition, planned strategies to 2019/20 are also included, in line with the Council's 5 year Medium Term Plan. Key points of interest are summarised below.

Borrowing Strategy

- 5. The Council has significant long term borrowing requirements but in recent years, the strategy has been able to fund its capital expenditure from reducing investments rather than undertaking more expensive new borrowing i.e. using 'surplus cash'. This is because the rates achievable on the Council's investments are lower than the rates that would be payable on long-term borrowing and therefore this strategy is more cost effective.
- 6. In terms of the revenue budget, the Council must ensure it sets aside sums to repay capital expenditure funded from borrowing (irrespective of whether the borrowing itself is undertaken externally or through dis-investing). This is done via the 'Minimum Revenue Provision' (MRP). In addition, a budget is also needed to fund actual interest payable on loans taken out, which are based on predictions of actual external borrowing. Both are discrete budget lines in the Council's overall revenue budget.
- 7. Local Authorities measure their underlying need for long-term borrowing through their 'Capital Financing Requirement' (CFR). This takes into account the amount of capital expenditure that needs to be funded through borrowing, (as opposed to external funding from cash grants, capital receipts or S106 contributions for example) irrespective of whether the borrowing itself is undertaken externally or through dis-investing.
- 8. In addition to normal planned capital expenditure, in December 2013 the Council approved a loan of up to £89.1million to Queensbury Real Estates (Newport) Ltd (QRE) to fund the building of the Friars Walk Development. The Council's own borrowings to make the onward loan are kept separate from the Council's other borrowing requirements as these loans are relatively short term given the loan is anticipated to be paid off in full via a capital receipt by November 2016, when the Council's own borrowings for this will then be redeemed as soon as is possible. On this basis, the Council will not be required to make MRP charges to the revenue budget in relation to the Friars Walk Development loan as the borrowing will be paid off in full at the end of the scheme via the repayment of the loan by QRE (Newport) Ltd. Loans in relation to the Friars Walk development have been taken at various stages throughout the scheme, therefore have variable dates in which the loans are redeemable. £40 million of loans are redeemable in July 2017, with the balance being taken over shorter terms, currently up to the end of April 2016. This would mean if the loan by QRE (Newport) Ltd is repaid before July 2017 the Council will have surplus funds to invest, unless we are able to redeem early at nil or minimal cost.

9. The table overleaf shows the estimated Capital Financing Requirement/ New Net Borrowing Requirement position for Newport City Council for 2015/16 to 2018/19:

Table 1: Newport City Council - CFR

	31.3.15	31.3.16	31.3.17	31.3.18	31.3.19
	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
CFR	321.1	360.2	280.6	283.7	283.5
Less: Other long-term liabilities *	-51.6	-49.3	-47.4	-45.5	-43.8
Borrowing CFR	269.5	310.9	233.2	238.2	239.7
Less: External borrowing **	-190.3	-235.8	-246.6	-205.6	-204.5
Internal (over) borrowing	79.2	75.1	-13.4	32.6	35.2
Less: Usable reserves	-89.8	-83	-78	-74.2	-73.6
Less: Working capital	8	8	8	8	8
Investments / (New Borrowing)	2.6	-0.1	83.4	33.6	30.4

^{*}finance leases and PFI liabilities that form part of the Authority's debt

- 10. As the table shows, the inherent 'need to borrow' as shown by the CFR is predicted to be £280.6 million in 2016/17. The significant reduction in the CFR between 2015/16 and 2016/17 is due to the anticipated repayment of the loan in relation to the Friars Walk development.
- 11. Given **current** borrowing levels no additional long term borrowing is likely to be required during 2016/17. However, the Authority will be required to be flexible to borrow up to the Authorised Limit, as there will be uncertainty to timing of repayment of the outstanding loan.
- 12. The Authority will adopt a flexible approach to any borrowing necessary in consultation with its treasury management advisers, Arlingclose Ltd. The following issues will be considered prior to undertaking any external borrowing:
 - Affordability
 - Maturity profile of existing debt
 - Interest rate and refinancing risk
 - Borrowing source

Investment Strategy

- 13. The Authority holds minimal invested funds, representing income received in advance of expenditure plus balances and reserves held. In the past 12 months, the Authority's investment balance has ranged between £0m and £25 million. In 2016/17, the investment balances could increase significantly dependent on the timing of the repayment of loans in relation to Queensberry, where a substantial receipt may be achieved in advance of borrowing required to be repaid.
- 14. **Objectives:** Both the CIPFA Code and the WG Guidance require the Authority to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield. The Authority's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses.

^{**} shows only loans to which the Authority is committed and excludes optional refinancing

- 15. Given the increasing risk and continued low returns from short-term unsecured bank investments, the Authority aims to diversify into more secure and/or higher yielding classes during 2016/17. This is especially the case for any surplus funds available for investment following the repayment of the Queensberry loan, before it is used to repay its own loans for this purpose.
- 16. **Approved Counterparties:** Whilst investment funds remain available and based on the treasury management advice from Arlingclose; the Authority may invest its surplus funds with any of the counterparty types in table 2 below, subject to the cash limits (per counterparty) and the time limits shown will invest in the following areas:

Table 2: Approved Investment Counterparties and Limits

Credit Rating	Banks Unsecured	Banks Secured	Government	Corporates	Registered Providers
UK Govt	Not applicable	Not applicable	£ Unlimited 50 years	Not applicable	Not applicable
AAA	£5m	£10m	£10m	£5m	£5m
AAA	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years
AA+	£5m	£10m	£10m	£5m	£5m
AA+	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years
AA	£5m	£10m	£10m	£5m	£5m
AA	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years
AA-	£5m	£10m	£10m	£5m	£5m
AA-	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years
Α.	£5m	£10m	£5m	£5m	£5m
A+	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years
Δ.	£5m	£10m	£5m	£5m	£5m
Α	13 months	2 years	2 years	2 years	2 years
A-	£5m	£10m	£5m	£5m	£5m
Α-	6 months	13 months	2 years	13 months	2 years
BBB+	£2.5m	£5m	£2.5m	£2.5m	£2.5m
DDD+	100 days	6 months	2 years	6 months	2 years
BBB	£2.5m	£5.0m	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
DDD	overnight	100 days	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
None	£1m	Not applicable	£10m	Not applicable	Not applicable
None	6 months	Not applicable	25 years	Not applicable	Not applicable
Pooled funds			Not applicable		

17. Investment decisions are made by reference to the lowest published long-term credit rating from Fitch, Moody's or Standard & Poor's. Where available, the credit rating relevant to the specific investment or class of investment is used, otherwise the counterparty credit rating is used. Whilst the credit ratings score drives the approved listing, the day-to-day operational counterparties are generally limited to named counterparty listing as documented in Appendix C. However, where it is prudent to do so the Authority may also use other approved investments based on the approved credit ratings as documented in the table above.

18. A more detailed explanation of the different approved counterparty types are included in Appendix 1 but for the sake of clarity, the Council's investment strategy will, as per the Welsh Governments Investment Guidance, give priority to security and liquidity and will aim to achieve a yield commensurate with these principles. Due to the expected increase in levels of surplus funds during 2016/17, the total amount invested in any individual counterparty has been increased to £10m, and the time limit increased from 6 moths to 2 years. This is in line with the limits provided by our treasury advisers.

Prudential Indicators

- 19. The Council must establish certain 'checks' required by CIPFA to ensure that its Treasury Management Strategy is operating effectively. These are known as Prudential Indicators, and they will be reported to the Council on a 6 monthly basis.
- 20. Examples of our key indicators are noted below; again more detail is supplied at Appendix 1

Net Borrowing/Capital Financing Requirement

The Council's net borrowing should not exceed its Capital Financing Requirements as outlined earlier. This ensures that borrowing is only used to finance capital over the long term. The Council does not note any difficulty in meeting this requirement.

Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

This ratio shows how much of the Council's total revenue budget is used for capital financing costs, as a percentage. The ratio for 2016/17 is 8.8%.

21. We recommend that the Audit Committee scrutinise the 2016/17 Treasury Management Strategy and Prudential Indicators detailed in Appendix 1 and recommend to Cabinet for approval.

Risks

Risk	Impact of	Probability	What is the Council doing or	Who is
	Risk if it	of risk	what has it done to avoid the	responsible
	occurs*	occurring	risk or reduce its effect	for dealing
	(H/M/L)	(H/M/L)		with the risk?
Investment	High but	Low	The Council only invests with	Members,
counterparty	depending		Institutions with very high	Head of
not repaying	on		credit scores. It employs	Finance,
investments	investment		advisors to monitor	Treasury
	value		moneymarket movements and	staff, based
			changes to credit scores and	on advice
			acts immediately should things	from treasury
			change adversely. The lower	advisors
			levels of funds/duration	
			available for relatively higher	
			risk investment as measured	
			by 'credit ratings' will also	
			alleviate the risk.	
Interest	Low	Low	Base and short-term Interest	Head of
Rates			rates are expected to remain	Finance,
moving			at current levels until the	Treasury
adversely			second half of 2016. The	staff, treasury
against			Treasury strategy approved	advisors
expectations			allows for the use of short term	
			borrowing once investment	
			funds are exhausted to take	
			advantage of these low rates.	

^{*} Taking account of proposed mitigation measures

Links to Council Policies and Priorities

22. It is the Council's policy to ensure that the security of the capital sums invested is fully recognised and has absolute priority. The Council follows the advice of the Welsh Assembly Government that any investment decisions take account of security, liquidity and yield in that order.

Options Considered/Available

23. The Prudential Code and statute requires that, during and at the end of each financial year, reports on these matters are presented to Cabinet/Council for approval. Best practice is for the reports to be scrutinised by the Audit committee prior to Council approval. Thus the only option available is to consider this report.

Preferred Choice and Reasons

24. The preferred choice is to receive and scrutinise the contents of the report.

Comments of Monitoring Officer

25. There are no legal implications. The in year and annual treasury management report is consistent with relevant Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy Guidance, Treasury Management principles and the Council's Investment Strategy.

Comments of Head of Human Resources and Policy

26. There are no human resources implications within the report

<u>Prudential Code Indicators, Minimum Revenue Policy, Treasury Management and Investment Strategy Statements 2016/17</u>

Introduction

In June 2009 the Authority adopted the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice 2011 Edition (the CIPFA Code) which requires the Authority to approve a treasury management strategy before the start of each financial year.

In addition, the Welsh Government (WG) issued revised Guidance on Local Authority Investments in April 2010 that requires the Authority to approve an investment strategy before the start of each financial year.

This report fulfils the Authority's legal obligation under the Local Government Act 2003 to have regard to both the CIPFA Code and the WG Guidance.

The Authority borrows/invests substantial sums of money and is therefore exposed to financial risks including the loss of invested funds and the revenue effect of changing interest rates. The successful identification, monitoring and control of risk are therefore central to the Authority's treasury management strategy.

External Context

Economic Background

Domestic demand has grown robustly, supported by sustained real income growth and a gradual decline in private sector savings. Low oil and commodity prices were a notable feature of 2015, and contributed to annual CPI inflation falling to 0.1% in October. Wages are growing at 3% a year, and the unemployment rate has dropped to 5.4%. Mortgage approvals have risen to over 70,000 a month and annual house price growth is around 3.5%. These factors have boosted consumer confidence, helping to underpin retail spending and hence GDP growth, which was an encouraging 2.3% a year in the third quarter of 2015. Although speeches by the Bank of England's Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) members sent signals that some were willing to countenance higher interest rates, the MPC held policy rates at 0.5% for the 81st consecutive month at its meeting in November 2015. Quantitative easing (QE) has been maintained at £375bn since July 2012.

The outcome of the UK general election, which was largely fought over the parties' approach to dealing with the deficit in the public finances, saw some big shifts in the political landscape and put the key issue of the UK's relationship with the EU at the heart of future politics. Uncertainty over the outcome of the forthcoming referendum could put downward pressure on UK GDP growth and interest rates.

China's growth has slowed and its economy is performing below expectations, reducing global demand for commodities and contributing to emerging market weakness. US domestic growth has accelerated but the globally sensitive sectors of the US economy have slowed. Strong US labour market data and other economic indicators however suggest recent global turbulence has not knocked the American recovery off course. The Federal Reserve did not raise policy rates at its meetings in October and November, but a rate hike was made in December 2015. In contrast, the European Central Bank finally embarked on QE in 2015 to counter the perils of deflation.

Credit outlook:

The varying fortunes of different parts of the global economy are reflected in market indicators of credit risk. UK Banks operating in the Far East and parts of mainland Europe have seen their perceived risk increase, while those with a more domestic focus continue to show improvement. The sale of most of the government's stake in Lloyds and the first sale of its shares in RBS have generally been seen as credit positive.

Bail-in legislation, which ensures that large investors including local authorities will rescue failing banks instead of taxpayers in the future, has now been fully implemented in the UK, USA and Germany. The rest of the European Union will follow suit in January 2016, while Australia, Canada and Switzerland are well advanced with their own plans. Meanwhile, changes to the UK Financial Services Compensation Scheme and similar European schemes in July 2015 mean that most private sector investors are now partially or fully exempt from contributing to a bail-in. The credit risk associated with making unsecured bank deposits has therefore increased relative to the risk of other investment options available to the Authority; returns from cash deposits however remain stubbornly low.

Interest rate forecast:

The Authority's treasury advisor Arlingclose projects the first 0.25% increase in UK Bank Rate in the third quarter of 2016, rising by 0.5% a year thereafter, finally settling between 2% and 3% in several years' time. Persistently low inflation, subdued global growth and potential concerns over the UK's position in Europe mean that the risks to this forecast are weighted towards the downside.

A shallow upward path for medium term gilt yields is forecast, as continuing concerns about the Eurozone, emerging markets and other geo-political events weigh on risk appetite, while inflation expectations remain subdued. Arlingclose projects the 10 year gilt yield to rise from its current 2.0% level by around 0.3% a year. The uncertainties surrounding the timing of UK and US interest rate rises are likely to prompt short-term volatility in gilt yields.

A more detailed economic and interest rate forecast provided by Arlingclose is attached at *Appendix A*.

Local Context

The Authority currently has £227.8 million of borrowing and £7.4 million of investments. This is set out in further detail at Appendix B. Forecast changes in these sums are shown in the balance sheet analysis in table 1 below:

Table 1: Balance Sheet Summary and Forecast

	31.3.15	31.3.16	31.3.17	31.3.18	31.3.19
	Actual	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
CFR	321.1	360.2	280.6	283.7	283.5
Less: Other long-term liabilities *	-51.6	-49.3	-47.4	-45.5	-43.8
Borrowing CFR	269.5	310.9	233.2	238.2	239.7
Less: External borrowing **	-190.3	-235.8	-246.6	-205.6	-204.5
Internal (over) borrowing	79.2	75.1	-13.4	32.6	35.2
Less: Usable reserves	-89.8	-83	-78	-74.2	-73.6
Less: Working capital	8	8	8	8	8
Investments / (New Borrowing)	2.6	-0.1	83.4	33.6	30.4

^{*}finance leases and PFI liabilities that form part of the Authority's debt

^{**} shows only loans to which the Authority is committed and excludes optional refinancing

Capital Financing Requirement

The underlying need to borrow for capital purposes is measured by the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), while usable reserves and working capital are the underlying resources available for investment. The Authority's current strategy is to maintain borrowing and investments below their underlying levels, sometimes known as internal borrowing.

The Authority has an increasing CFR during 2015/16 due to the capital programme and the on-going loan to Queensbury Real Estates (Newport) Ltd, but holds minimal investments and will therefore be required to borrow up to £50m during 2015/16. However, during 2016/17 the sale of the development will reduce the CFR significantly and dependent on timing of loan re-payments and capital receipts, significant investments are likely to be required over the forecast period.

CIPFA's Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities recommends that the Authority's total debt should be lower than its highest forecast CFR over the next three years. Table 1 shows that the Authority expects to comply with this recommendation during 2016/17.

Borrowing Strategy

The Authority currently holds £227.8 million of loans as part of its strategy for funding previous years' capital programmes. The balance sheet forecast in table 1 shows that the Authority does not expect to need to borrow in 2016/17. The Authority may however borrow to pre-fund future years' requirements, providing this does not exceed the recommended authorised limit for borrowing of £397 million.

The Authority's chief objective when borrowing money is to strike an appropriately low risk balance between securing low interest costs and achieving cost certainty over the period for which funds are required. The flexibility to renegotiate loans should the Authority's long-term plans change is a secondary objective.

Given the significant cuts to public expenditure and in particular to local government funding, the Authority's borrowing strategy continues to address the key issue of affordability without compromising the longer-term stability of the debt portfolio. With short-term interest rates currently much lower than long-term rates, it is more cost effective in the short-term to either use internal resources, or to borrow short-term loans instead.

By doing so, the Authority is able to reduce net borrowing costs (despite foregone investment income) and reduce overall treasury risk. The benefits of internal borrowing will be monitored regularly against the potential for incurring additional costs by deferring borrowing into future years when long-term borrowing rates are forecast to rise. Arlingclose will assist the Authority with this 'cost of carry' and breakeven analysis. Its output may determine whether the Authority borrows additional sums at long-term fixed rates in 2016/17 with a view to keeping future interest costs low, even if this causes additional cost in the short-term.

Alternatively, the Authority may arrange forward starting loans during 2016/17, where the interest rate is fixed in advance, but the cash is received in later years. This would enable certainty of cost to be achieved without suffering a cost of carry in the intervening period.

In addition, the Authority may borrow short-term loans (normally for up to one month) to cover unexpected cash flow shortages.

The approved sources of long-term and short-term borrowing are:

- Public Works Loan Board and any successor body
- UK local authorities
- any institution approved for investments (see below)
- any other bank or building society authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority to operate in the UK
- UK public and private sector pension funds

- capital market bond investors
- Special purpose companies created to enable joint local authority bond issues.

In addition, capital finance may be raised by the following methods that are not borrowing, but may be classed as other debt liabilities:

- operating and finance leases
- hire purchase
- Private Finance Initiative
- sale and leaseback

The Authority has previously raised the majority of its long-term borrowing from the Public Works Loan Board, but it continues to investigate other sources of finance, such as local authority loans and bank loans, that may be available at more favourable rates.

The Authority holds £35m of LOBO (Lender's Option Borrower's Option) loans where the lender has the option to propose an increase in the interest rate at set dates, following which the Authority has the automatic option to either accept the new rate or to repay the loan at no additional cost. £30m of these LOBOS have options during 2016/17, and although the Authority understands that lenders are extremely unlikely to exercise their options in the current low interest rate environment, there remains a remote element of refinancing risk. The Authority will take the option to repay LOBO loans at no cost if it has the opportunity to do so.

Short-term and variable rate loans leave the Authority exposed to the risk of short-term interest rate rises and are therefore subject to the limit on the net exposure to variable interest rates in the treasury management indicators below.

Debt Rescheduling: The PWLB allows authorities to repay loans before maturity and either pay a premium or receive a discount according to a set formula based on current interest rates. Some bank lenders may also be prepared to negotiate premature redemption terms. The Authority may take advantage of this and replace some loans with new loans, or repay loans without replacement, where this is expected to lead to an overall saving or reduction in risk.

Annual Investment Strategy

The Authority holds invested funds, representing income received in advance of expenditure plus balances and reserves held. In the past 12 months, the Authority's investment balance has ranged between £0 million and £25 million. In 2016/17, the investment balances could increase significantly dependent on the timing of the repayment of loans in relation to Queensberry, where a substantial receipt may be achieved in advance of borrowing required to be repaid. As per the strategy, balances could first be used to reduce levels of borrowing required before the Authority invests funds, this is in relation to long term loans which become redeemable.

Both the CIPFA Code and the WG Guidance require the Authority to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield. The Authority's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk receiving unsuitably low investment income.

Given the increasing risk and continued low returns from short-term unsecured bank investments, the Authority aims to diversify into more secure and/or higher yielding classes during 2016/17. This is especially the case for any surplus funds available for investment following the repayment of the Queensberry loan.

There is no intention to restrict investments to bank deposits, and investments may be made with any public or private sector organisations that meet the required credit rating criteria. This reflects a lower likelihood that the UK and other governments will support failing banks as the bail-in provisions in the

Banking Reform Act 2014 and the EU Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive are implemented. The Authority may invest its surplus funds with any of the counterparties that meet the definition and credit type as documented in table 2 and additional explanation are:

- Credit Rating: Investment decisions are made by reference to the lowest published long-term credit
 rating from Fitch, Moody's or Standard & Poor's. Where available, the credit rating relevant to the
 specific investment or class of investment is used, otherwise the counterparty credit level is used.
- Banks Unsecured: Accounts, deposits, certificates of deposit and senior unsecured bonds with banks and designated building societies, other than multilateral development banks. These investments are subject to the risk of credit loss via a bail-in should the regulator determine that the bank is failing or likely to fail. Unsecured investment with banks rated BBB are restricted to overnight deposits.
- Current Account Bank: The banking contract with Santander UK PLC was renewed for a further three years from 1st April 2013. Santander UK PLC is currently rated above the minimum required Arating. Should the credit ratings fall below A-, the Authority may continue to deposit surplus cash with Santander UK PLC providing that investments that can be withdrawn on the next working day, and that the bank maintains a credit rating no lower than BBB (the lowest investment grade rating).
- Banks Secured: Covered bonds, reverse repurchase agreements and other collateralised arrangements with banks and designated building societies. These investments are secured on the bank's assets, which limits the potential losses in the unlikely event of insolvency, and means that they are exempt from bail-in. Where there is no investment specific credit rating, but the collateral upon which the investment is secured has a credit rating, the highest of the collateral credit rating and the counterparty credit rating will be used to determine cash and time limits. The combined secured and unsecured investments in any one bank will not exceed the cash limit for secured investments.
- Government: Loans, bonds and bills issued or guaranteed by UK government, regional and local authorities. These investments are not subject to bail-in, and there is an insignificant risk of insolvency. Investments with the UK Government may be made in unlimited amounts for up to 50 years.
- Corporates: Loans, bonds and commercial paper issued by companies other than banks and registered providers. These investments are not subject to bail-in, but are exposed to the risk of the company going insolvent. Loans to unrated companies will only be made as part of a diversified pool in order to spread the risk widely.
- Registered Providers: Loans and bonds issued by, guaranteed by or secured on the assets of Registered Providers of Social Housing, formerly known as Housing Associations. These bodies are tightly regulated by the Homes and Communities Agency and, as providers of public services they retain a high likelihood of receiving government support if needed. The Authority will consider investing with unrated Registered Providers with adequate credit safeguards, subject to receiving independent advice.
- Pooled Funds: Shares in diversified investment vehicles consisting of the any of the above investment types, plus equity shares and property. These funds have the advantage of providing wide diversification of investment risks, coupled with the services of a professional fund manager in return for a fee. Short-term Money Market Funds that offer same-day liquidity and very low or no volatility will be used as an alternative to instant access bank accounts, while pooled funds whose value changes with market prices and/or have a notice period will be used for longer investment periods.

Bond, equity and property funds offer enhanced returns over the longer term, but are more volatile in the short term. These allow the Authority to diversify into asset classes other than cash without the need to own and manage the underlying investments. Because these funds have no defined maturity date, but are available for withdrawal after a notice period, their performance and continued suitability in meeting the Authority's investment objectives will be monitored regularly. It is not envisaged that the Authority will use the facility of pool funds in the in the short term.

Risk Assessment and Credit Ratings:

Credit ratings are obtained and monitored by the Authority's treasury advisers, who will notify changes in ratings as they occur. Where the Treasury advisor provides advice relating to a specific named counterparty then the Authority will act upon that advice relating to the duration of exposure and amount.

Where an entity has its credit rating downgraded so that it fails to meet the approved investment criteria then:

- no new investments will be made,
- any existing investments that can be recalled or sold at no cost will be, and
- full consideration will be given to the recall or sale of all other existing investments with the affected counterparty.

Where a credit rating agency announces that a credit rating is on review for possible downgrade (also known as "rating watch negative" or "credit watch negative") so that it may fall below the approved rating criteria, then only investments that can be withdrawn will be made with that organisation until the outcome of the review is announced. This policy will not apply to negative outlooks, which indicate a long-term direction of travel rather than an imminent change of rating.

Other Information on the Security of Investments: The Authority understands that credit ratings are good, but not perfect, predictors of investment default. Full regard will therefore be given to other available information on the credit quality of the organisations, in which it invests, including credit default swap prices, financial statements, information on potential government support and reports in the quality financial press. No investments will be made with an organisation if there are substantive doubts about its credit quality, even though it may meet the credit rating criteria.

When deteriorating financial market conditions affect the creditworthiness of all organisations, as happened in 2008 and 2011, this is not generally reflected in credit ratings, but can be seen in other market measures. In these circumstances, the Authority will restrict its investments to those organisations of higher credit quality and reduce the maximum duration of its investments to maintain the required level of security. The extent of these restrictions will be in line with prevailing financial market conditions. If these restrictions mean that insufficient commercial organisations of high credit quality are available to invest the Authority's cash balances, then the surplus will be deposited with the UK Government, via the Debt Management Office or invested in government treasury bills for example, or with other local authorities. This will cause a reduction in the level of investment income earned, but will protect the principal sum invested.

Specified Investments: The WG Guidance defines specified investments as those:

- denominated in pound sterling,
- due to be repaid within 12 months of arrangement,
- not defined as capital expenditure by legislation, and
- invested with one of:
 - o the UK Government,

- o a UK local authority, parish council or community council, or
- o a body or investment scheme of "high credit quality".

The Authority defines "high credit quality" organisations and securities as those having a credit rating of A- or higher that are domiciled in the UK or a foreign country with a sovereign rating of AA+ or higher. For money market funds and other pooled funds "high credit quality" is defined as those having a credit rating of A- or higher.

- Non-specified Investments: Any investment not meeting the definition of a specified investment is classed as non-specified. The Authority does not intend to make any investments denominated in foreign currencies. Non-specified investments will therefore be limited to long-term investments, i.e. those that are due to mature 12 months or longer from the date of arrangement; those that are defined as capital expenditure by legislation, such as shares in money market funds and other pooled fund; and investments with bodies and schemes not meeting the definition on high credit quality. Appendix C sets out the investment limits/ maximum maturity periods for Non-specified investments.
- Approved Instruments: The Authority may lend or invest money using any of the following instruments:
 - interest-bearing bank accounts,
 - fixed term deposits and loans,
 - callable deposits and loans where the Authority may demand repayment at any time (with or without notice),
 - · certificates of deposit,
 - bonds, notes, bills, commercial paper and other marketable instruments, and
 - shares in money market funds and other pooled funds.
- Investments may be made at either a fixed rate of interest, or at a variable rate linked to a market interest rate, such as LIBOR, subject to the limits on interest rate exposures below.
- Liquidity management: The Authority uses purpose-built cash flow forecasting to determine the maximum period for which funds may prudently be committed. The forecast is compiled on a prudent basis, with receipts under-estimated and payments over-estimated to minimise the risk of the Authority being forced to borrow on unfavourable terms to meet its financial commitments. Limits on long-term investments are set by reference to the Authority's medium term financial plan and cash flow forecast.

Monitoring & reporting on the Treasury Management and capital Prudential Indicators

The Head of Finance will report to the Audit committee/ Cabinet/ Council on treasury management activity, performance and Treasury/Capital Prudential Indicators (set out in Appendix D) as follows:

- Half Yearly and then annually against the strategy approved for the year. The annual report will be produced normally by July of the following year but in any event no later than 30th September.
- The Audit Committee will be responsible for the scrutiny of treasury management activity and practices.

Other Items

- There are a number of additional items that the Authority is obliged by CIPFA or WG to include in its Treasury Management Strategy.
- Policy on Use of Financial Derivatives: In the absence of any legal power to do so, the Authority will not use standalone financial derivatives (such as swaps, forwards, futures and options). Derivatives embedded into loans and investments may be used, and the risks that they present will be managed in line with the overall treasury risk management strategy.

- **Investment Training:** The needs of the Authority's treasury management staff for training in investment management are assessed annually as part of the staff appraisal process, and additionally when the responsibilities of individual members of staff change.
 - Staff members regularly attend training courses, seminars and conferences provided by Arlingclose and other organisations.
- Investment Advisers: The Authority has appointed Arlingclose Limited as treasury management advisers and receives specific advice on investment, debt and capital finance issues. The service provided by Arlingclose continues to meet all expectations and the advice given especially in relation to investment counterparties and credit ratings has allowed the Council to action the changes needed, especially in removing counterparties from the approved list, in a prompt and timely manner.

Financial Implications

■ The approximate debt interest of £8.8 million and principal of £1.0 million is expected to be paid in 2016/17 (this includes Queensbury interest payments and maturities) If actual levels of investments and borrowing differ from those forecast, performance against budget will be correspondingly different.

Other Options Considered

The WG Guidance and the CIPFA Code do not prescribe any particular treasury management strategy for local authorities to adopt. The Head of Finance believes that the above strategy represents an appropriate balance between risk management and cost effectiveness. Some alternative strategies, with their financial and risk management implications, are listed below.

Alternative	Impact on income and expenditure	Impact on risk management
Invest in a narrower range of counterparties and/or for shorter times	Interest income will be lower	Reduced risk of losses from credit related defaults, but any such losses will be greater
Invest in a wider range of counterparties and/or for longer times	Interest income will be higher	Increased risk of losses from credit related defaults, but any such losses will be smaller
Borrow additional sums at long-term fixed interest rates	Debt interest costs will rise; this is unlikely to be offset by higher investment income	Higher investment balance leading to a higher impact in the event of a default; however long-term interest costs will be more certain
Borrow short-term or variable loans instead of long-term fixed rates	Debt interest costs will initially be lower	Increases in debt interest costs will be broadly offset by rising investment income in the medium term, but long term costs will be less certain
Reduce level of borrowing	Saving on debt interest is likely to exceed lost investment income	Reduced investment balance leading to a lower impact in the event of a default; however long-term interest costs will be less certain

Appendix A – Arlingclose Economic & Interest Rate Forecast November 2015

Underlying assumptions:

- UK economic growth softened in Q3 2015 but remained reasonably robust; the first estimate for the last quarter was 0.5% and year-on-year growth fell slightly to 2.3%. Negative construction output growth offset fairly strong services output, however survey estimates suggest upwards revisions to construction may be in the pipeline.
- Household spending has been the main driver of GDP growth through 2014 and 2015 and remains key to growth. Consumption will continue to be supported by real wage and disposable income growth.
- Annual average earnings growth was 3.0% (including bonuses) in the three months to August 2015. Given low inflation, real earnings and income growth continue to run at relatively strong levels and could feed directly into unit labour costs and households' disposable income.
 Improving productivity growth should support pay growth in the medium term. The development of wage growth is one of the factors being closely monitored by the MPC.
- Business investment indicators continue to signal strong growth. However the outlook for business investment may be tempered by the looming EU referendum, increasing uncertainties surrounding global growth and recent financial market shocks.
- Inflation is currently very low and, with a further fall in commodity prices, will likely remain so over the next 12 months. The CPI rate is likely to rise towards the end of 2016.
- China's growth has slowed and its economy is performing below expectations, which in turn will
 dampen activity in countries with which it has close economic ties; its slowdown and emerging
 market weakness will reduce demand for commodities. Other possible currency interventions
 following China's recent devaluation will keep sterling strong against many global currencies and
 depress imported inflation.
- Strong US labour market data and other economic indicators suggest recent global turbulence has not knocked the American recovery off course. Although the timing of the first rise in official interest rates remains uncertain, a rate rise by the Federal Reserve seems significantly more likely in December given recent data and rhetoric by committee members.
- Longer term rates will be tempered by international uncertainties and weaker global inflation pressure.

Forecast:

Arlingclose forecasts the first rise in UK Bank Rate in Q3 2016. Further weakness in inflation, and
the MPC's expectations for its path, suggest policy tightening will be pushed back into the second
half of the year. Risks remain weighted to the downside. Arlingclose projects a slow rise in Bank
Rate, the appropriate level of which will be lower than the previous norm and will be between 2
and 3%.

- The projection is for a shallow upward path for medium term gilt yields, with continuing concerns about the Eurozone, emerging markets and other geo-political events, weighing on risk appetite, while inflation expectations remain subdued.
- The uncertainties surrounding the timing of UK and US monetary policy tightening, and global growth weakness, are likely to prompt short term volatility in gilt yields.

	Dec-15	Mar-16	Jun-16	Sep-16	Dec-16	Mar-17	Jun-17	Sep-17	Dec-17	Mar-18	Jun-18	Sep-18	Dec-18
Official Bank Rate												•	
Upside risk		0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Arlingclose Central Case	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.75	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.25	1.25	1.50	1.50	1.75	1.75
Downside risk				-0.25	-0.25	-0.50	-0.50	-0.75	-0.75	-1.00	-1.00	-1.25	-1.25
3-month LIBID rate													
Upside risk	0.20	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Arlingclose Central Case	0.55	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.95	1.05	1.15	1.30	1.40	1.55	1.65	1.80	1.85
Downside risk		-0.20	-0.30	-0.45	-0.55	-0.65	-0.80	-0.90	-1.05	-1.10	-1.20	-1.20	-1.20
1-yr LIBID rate													
Upside risk	0.25	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45
Arlingclose Central Case	1.10	1.20	1.35	1.45	1.55	1.70	1.80	1.95	2.00	2.10	2.15	2.15	2.15
Downside risk	-0.15	-0.25	-0.35	-0.50	-0.60	-0.70	-0.85	-0.95	-1.10	-1.15	-1.25	-1.25	-1.25
5-yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Arlingclose Central Case	1.50	1.55	1.60	1.70	1.80	1.90	2.00	2.10	2.20	2.25	2.30	2.35	2.35
Downside risk	-0.35	-0.45	-0.55	-0.60	-0.70	-0.80	-0.90	-1.00	-1.10	-1.15	-1.20	-1.25	-1.25
10-yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Arlingclose Central Case	2.00	2.05	2.10	2.20	2.30	2.40	2.50	2.60	2.65	2.70	2.75	2.80	2.80
Downside risk	-0.35	-0.45	-0.55	-0.60	-0.70	-0.80	-0.90	-1.00	-1.10	-1.15	-1.20	-1.25	-1.25
20-yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Arlingclose Central Case	2.45	2.50	2.55	2.55	2.60	2.65	2.70	2.75	2.80	2.85	2.90	2.95	2.95
Downside risk	-0.30	-0.40	-0.50	-0.55	-0.65	-0.75	-0.85	-0.95	-1.05	-1.10	-1.15	-1.20	-1.20
50-yr gilt yield													
Upside risk	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60
Arlingclose Central Case	2.45	2.50	2.55	2.60	2.65	2.70	2.75	2.80	2.85	2.90	2.95	3.00	3.00
Downside risk	-0.25	-0.35	-0.45	-0.50	-0.60	-0.70	-0.80	-0.90	-1.00	-1.05	-1.10	-1.15	-1.15

Appendix B – Existing Investment & Debt Portfolio Position

	31/12/15 Actual Portfolio £m
External Borrowing:	
PWLB – Fixed Rate	74.84
Market Loans	35.00
Stock Issue	40.00
Temporary loans - Queensberry	77.98
Other Soft Loans	0.00
Total External Borrowing	227.82
Other Long Term Liabilities:	
PFI	51.16
Finance Leases and other	0.15
Total Gross External Debt	279.13
Investments:	
Short-term investments	7.39
Long-term investments	0.00
Total Investments	7.39
Net Debt	271.74

Appendix C – Operational Investment Counterparties List

COUNTERPARTY LIMITS FOR BANKING – UK INSTITUTIONS

	Unsecured In	vestments	Secured Inv	estments
Counterparty - Banking UK Institutions	Maximum Counterparty Limit and Group Limit (if applicable)	Maximum Lending Period	Maximum Counterparty Limit and Group Limit (if applicable)	Maximum Lending Period
Bank of Scotland	£5,000,000	13 Months	£10,000,000	2 years
Barclays Bank Plc.	£5,000,000	100 Days	£10,000,000	2 years
Close Brothers Ltd	£5,000,000	6 Months	£10,000,000	2 years
Goldman Sachs International Bank	£5,000,000	100 Days	£10,000,000	2 years
HSBC Bank Plc.	£5,000,000	13 Months	£10,000,000	2 years
Lloyds Bank Plc.	£5,000,000	13 Months	£10,000,000	2 years
National Westminster Bank Plc.	£2,500,000	35 Days	£10,000,000	2 years
Nationwide Building Society	£5,000,000	6 Months	£10,000,000	2 years
Royal Bank of Scotland	£2,500,000	35 Days	£10,000,0000	2 years
Santander UK Plc. (Banco Santander Group)	£5,000,000	6 Months	£10,000,000	2 years
Standard Chartered Bank	£5,000,000	6 Months	£10,000,000	2 years

^{*} based on advice from Arlingclose

Appendix D

Prudential Indicators 2016/17 - 2018/19

1. Background:

There is a requirement under the Local Government Act 2003 for local authorities to have regard to CIPFA's Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (the "CIPFA Prudential Code") when setting and reviewing their Prudential Indicators.

2. Gross Borrowing and the Capital Financing Requirement:

This is a key indicator of prudence. In order to ensure that over the medium term net borrowing will only be for a capital purpose, the local authority should ensure that the net external borrowing does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the capital financing requirement in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional increases to the capital financing requirement for the current and next two financial years.

If in any of these years there is a reduction in the capital financing requirement, this reduction is ignored in estimating the cumulative increase in the capital financing requirement, which is used for comparison with gross external debt.

The Head of Finance reports that the authority will have no difficulty meeting this requirement in 2016/17, nor are there any difficulties envisaged for future years. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans and the proposals in the approved budget.

3. Estimates of Capital Expenditure:

3.1 This indicator is set to ensure that the level of proposed capital expenditure remains within sustainable limits and, in particular, to consider the impact on Council Tax.

Capital Expenditure	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
	£m	£m	£m	£m
Total	26.1	40.2	18.2	20.0

3.2 Capital expenditure will be financed or funded as follows:

Capital Financing	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m
Capital receipts	1.6	3.6	1.2	1.5
Government Grants	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.0
Other Specific Grants	9.9	14.7	1.0	5.0
S106 Contributions	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.5
Revenue contributions	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.1
Total Financing	16.8	21.3	4.4	9.1
Supported borrowing	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.6
Unsupported borrowing	5.3	14.9	10.0	7.3
Finance Leases	0.0	0.0	0.2	0
Total Funding	9.3	18.9	13.8	10.9
Total Financing and Funding	26.1	40.2	18.2	20.0

4. Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream:

- 4.1 This is an indicator of affordability and highlights the revenue implications of existing and proposed capital expenditure by identifying the proportion of the revenue budget required to meet financing costs. The definition of financing costs is set out in the Prudential Code.
- 4.2 The ratio is based on costs net of investment income.

Ratio of Financing	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20
Costs to Net	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
Revenue Stream	%	%	%	%	%
Total	8.7%	8.8%	9.0%	9.2%	8.4%

5. Capital Financing Requirements:

5.1 The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) measures the Council's underlying need to borrow for a capital purpose. The calculation of the CFR is taken from the amounts held in the Balance Sheet relating to capital expenditure and it's financing.

6. A otu	Capital Financing Requirement	2015/16 Estimate £m	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m
Actu	Total CFR	360.2	280.6	283.7	283.5

External Debt:

6.1 This indicator is obtained directly from the Council's balance sheet. It is the closing balance for actual gross borrowing plus other long-term liabilities. This Indicator is measured in a manner consistent for comparison with the Operational Boundary and Authorised Limit.

Actual External Debt as at 31/03/2015	£000
Borrowing	190,400
Other Long-term Liabilities	51,160
Total	241,560

7. Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions:

7.1 This is an indicator of affordability that shows the impact of capital investment decisions on Council Tax and Housing Rent levels. The incremental impact is calculated by comparing the total revenue budget requirement of the current approved capital programme with an equivalent calculation of the revenue budget requirement arising from the proposed capital programme.

Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20
	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
	£	£	£	£
Increase in Band D Council Tax*	3.88	5.99	5.77	3.89

^{*}Assumes a 5% increase in 16/17 and 4.0% cumulative thereafter increase in Council Tax although no decision has been taken to this effect. The Friars Walk Loan have been excluded from these calculation as it is not part of the capital programme.

8. Authorised Limit and Operational Boundary for External Debt:

8.1 The Council has an integrated treasury management strategy and manages its treasury position in accordance with its approved strategy and practice. Overall borrowing will therefore arise as a consequence of all the financial transactions of the Council and not just those arising from capital spending reflected in the CFR.

- 8.2 The Authorised Limit sets the maximum level of external borrowing on a gross basis (i.e. not net of investments) for the Council. It is measured on a daily basis against all external-borrowing items on the Balance Sheet (i.e. long and short term borrowing, overdrawn bank balances and long term liabilities). This Prudential Indicator separately identifies borrowing from other long-term liabilities such as finance leases. It is consistent with the Council's existing commitments, its proposals for capital expenditure and financing and its approved treasury management policy statement and practices.
- 8.3 The Authorised Limit has been set on the estimate of the most likely, prudent but not worst case scenario with sufficient headroom over and above this to allow for unusual cash movements.
- 8.4 The Authorised Limit is the statutory limit determined under Section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2003 (referred to in the legislation as the Affordable Limit).

Authorised Limit for External Debt	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m
Borrowing	350	259	255
Other Long-term Liabilities	47	46	44
Total	397	305	299

- 8.5 The Operational Boundary links directly to the Council's estimates of the CFR and estimates of other cashflow requirements. This indicator is based on the same estimates as the Authorised Limit reflecting the most likely, prudent but not worst case scenario but without the additional headroom included within the Authorised Limit.
- 8.6 The Head of Finance has delegated authority, within the total limit for any individual year, to effect movement between the separately agreed limits for borrowing and other long-term liabilities. Decisions will be based on the outcome of financial option appraisals and best value considerations. Any movement between these separate limits will be reported in the next regular capital/treasury monitoring report to be submitted to Cabinet/Council.

Operational Boundary for External Debt	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m
Borrowing	330	239	235
Other Long-term			
Liabilities	47	46	44
Total	377	285	279

9. Adoption of the CIPFA Treasury Management Code:

9.1 This indicator demonstrates that the Council has adopted the principles of best practice.

Adoption of the CIPFA Code of Practice in Treasury Management

The Council approved the adoption of the CIPFA Treasury Management Code at its Council meeting on 29th June 2009.

The Council has incorporated the changes from the revised CIPFA Code of Practice into its treasury policies and procedures and will update its treasury management practice documentation in due course.

10. Upper Limits for Fixed Interest Rate Exposure and Variable Interest Rate Exposure:

- 10.1 These indicators allow the Council to manage the extent to which it is exposed to changes in interest rates. This Council calculates these limits on net principal outstanding sums, (i.e. fixed rate debt net of fixed rate investments)
- 10.2 The upper limit for variable rate exposure has been set to ensure that the Council is not exposed to interest rate rises that could adversely impact on the revenue budget. The limit allows for the use of variable rate debt to offset exposure to changes in short-term rates on investments.

	Existing limit at 31/03/15 %	2016/17 Estimate %	2017/18 Estimate %	2018/19 Estimate %	2019/20 Estimate %
Upper Limit for Fixed Interest Rate Exposure	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Upper Limit for Variable Interest Rate Exposure	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%

10.3 The limits above provide the necessary flexibility within which decisions will be made for drawing down new loans on a fixed or variable rate basis; the decisions will ultimately be determined by expectations of anticipated interest rate movements as set out in the Council's treasury management strategy.

11. Maturity Structure of Fixed Rate borrowing:

- 11.1 This indicator highlights the existence of any large concentrations of fixed rate debt needing to be replaced at times of uncertainty over interest rates and is designed to protect against excessive exposures to interest rate changes in any one period, in particular in the course of the next ten years.
- 11.2 It is calculated as the amount of projected borrowing that is fixed rate maturing in each period as a percentage of total projected borrowing that is fixed rate. The maturity of borrowing is determined by reference to the earliest date on which the lender can require payment.
- 11.3 LOBO's are classified as maturing on the next call date i.e. the earliest date that the lender can require repayment and as most of these loans are on six monthly notice period, then they increase the under 12 months percentage accordingly, though it is considered unlikely all will be called within one financial year.
- 11.4 The greatest concentration of debt is in the financial year 2019/20 when the stock issue (£40m) matures. A strategy to deal with the repayment will be prepared closer to the maturity date.

Maturity structure of fixed rate borrowing (Newport CC debt)	Existing level at 31/12/15 %	Lower Limit for 2016/17 %	Upper Limit for 2016/17 %
under 12 months	18%	0%	40%
12 months and within 24 months	18%	0%	20%
24 months and within 5 years	19%	0%	70%
5 years and within 10 years	14%	0%	50%
10 years and within 20 years	7%	0%	30%
20 years and within 30 years	7%	0%	20%
30 years and within 40 years	3%	0%	20%
40 years and within 50 years	7%	0%	20%
50 years and above	7%	0%	20%

12. Credit Risk:

- 12.1 The Council considers security, liquidity and yield, in that order, when making investment decisions.
- 12.2 Credit ratings remain an important element of assessing credit risk, but they are not a sole feature in the Council's assessment of counterparty credit risk.
- 12.3 The Council also considers alternative assessments of credit strength, and information on corporate developments of and market sentiment towards counterparties. The following key tools are used to assess credit risk:
 - Published credit ratings of the financial institution (minimum A- or equivalent) and its sovereign (minimum AA+ or equivalent for non-UK sovereigns);
 - Sovereign support mechanisms;
 - Credit default swaps (where quoted);
 - Share prices (where available);
 - Economic fundamentals, such as a country's net debt as a percentage of its GDP;
 - Corporate developments, news, articles, markets sentiment and momentum;
 - Subjective overlay.
- 12.4 The only indicators with prescriptive values remain to be credit ratings. Other indicators of creditworthiness are considered in relative rather than absolute terms.

13. Upper Limit for total principal sums invested over 364 days:

13.1 The purpose of this limit is to contain exposure to the possibility of loss that may arise as a result of the Council having to seek early repayment of the sums invested. For 2016/17, this is expected to be significant due to the sale of Friars Walk, however risks will be reduced by using only the approved investment counterparties limits.

Upper Limit for total principal sums invested over 364 days	2016/17 Estimate £m	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m	2019/20 Estimate £m
	100	5	5	5

Appendix E – Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement 2016/17

- 1. The Welsh Government's Guidance on Minimum Revenue Provision (issued in 2010) places a duty on local authorities to make a prudent provision for debt redemption. Guidance on Minimum Revenue Provision has been issued by the Welsh Ministers and local authorities are required to "have regard" to such Guidance under section 21(1A) of the Local Government Act 2003.
- 2. The four MRP options available are:
 - Option 1: Regulatory Method
 - Option 2: CFR Method
 - Option 3: Asset Life Method
 - Option 4: Depreciation Method
- 3. MRP in 2016/17: Options 1 and 2 may be used only for supported (i.e. financing costs deemed to be supported through Revenue Support Grant from Central Government) Non-HRA capital expenditure funded from borrowing. Methods of making prudent provision for unsupported Non-HRA capital expenditure include Options 3 and 4 (which may also be used for supported Non-HRA capital expenditure if the Authority chooses). There is no requirement to charge MRP in respect of HRA capital expenditure funded from borrowing.
- 4. The MRP Statement will be submitted to Council before the start of the 2016/17 financial year. If it is ever proposed to vary the terms of the original MRP Statement during the year, a revised statement should be put to Authority at that time.
- 5. The Authority will apply Option 1/Option 2 in respect of supported Non-HRA capital expenditure funded from borrowing and Option 3/Option 4 in respect of unsupported Non-HRA capital expenditure funded from borrowing.
- 6. MRP in respect of leases and Private Finance Initiative schemes brought on Balance Sheet under the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) based Accounting Code of Practice will match the annual principal repayment for the associated deferred liability.
- 7. In December 2013 the Council approved a loan of up to £89.1million to Queensbury Real Estates (Newport) Ltd (QRE) to fund the building of the Friars Walk Development. The loan is anticipated to be paid off in full via a capital receipt at the end of the three-year period. On this basis, the Council will not be required to make MRP charges to the revenue budget in relation to the Friars Walk Development loan as the borrowing will be paid off in full at the end of the scheme.